

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APP 15 VISON NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/030,604	05/28/2002	Tetsuro Kondo	J-1950	8303
7590 12/17/2003			EXAMINER	
S C Johnson Commercial Markets			NILAND, PATRICK DENNIS	
Parents Section 8310 16th Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
** ( ) Box 902			1714	
Startevant, WI 53177-0902			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	

Please and below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					Ý			
		Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)	_ <del></del>			
Office Action Summary		10	/030,604	KONDO ET AL.	KONDO ET AL.			
		Exa	aminer	Art Unit				
			trick D. Niland	1714	I of war as a			
The MA Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commu	inication appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	iaress			
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for recommender of the Failure to reply we have reply received.	ED STATUTORY PERIOD DATE OF THIS COMMUI e may be available under the provision ITHS from the mailing date of this corpuly specified above is less than thirty exply is specified above, the maximum thin the set or extended period for red by the Office later than three month adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). nmunication. (30) days, a reply within statutory period will app oly will, by statute, cause s after the mailing date	In no event, however, may an the statutory minimum of the bly and will expire SIX (6) Mo et the application to become	a reply be timely filed  nirty (30) days will be considered time  ONTHS from the mailing date of this of  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. xommunication.			
1) Respons	sive to communication(s) f	iled on						
2a)∐ This act	ion is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)⊠ This actio	n is non-final.					
3)☐ Since th closed in	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of CI	aims							
4a) Of th 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☑ Claim(s) 7) ☐ Claim(s)	) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are pending in the above claim(s) is ) is/are allowed. ) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected. ) is/are objected to. ) are subject to rest	/are withdrawn fr						
Application Pape	ers							
9)∏ The spec	cification is objected to by	the Examiner.						
10) The drav	ving(s) filed on is/ar	re: a)∐ accepte	d or b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
•		to by the Examil	her. Note the attach	ed Office Action of John P	10-102.			
•	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			> C 440/=) /d) == (f)				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet.</li> <li>37 CFR 1.78.</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449	(PTO-948) ) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice o	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT	(s) 'O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/030,604

Art Unit: 1714

1. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- A. The instant claims recite "type" after each of the recited resins. It is unclear what additional species are allowed into the genus of the terminology modified by "type". The word "type" therefore makes the modified terminology indefinite. See Ex parte Copenhaver, POBA, 1955, 109 USPQ 118-119.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pat. No. 5344675 Snyder.

Snyder discloses a floor polish having the instantly claimed amounts of polymers, encompassing styrene butadiene polymer emulsion and acrylic styrene emulsion. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the instantly claimed mixture of the instantly claimed polymers because they are encompassed by the patentee and would have been expected to give the properties of the floor polish of the patentee. The method steps of claim 2 are those which are well known in waxing floors as the instant examiner used to perform these steps many years ago when he waxed and cleaned floors at Safeway. These steps would necessarily be used with the floor polish of the patentee.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,604

Art Unit: 1714

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick D. Niland whose telephone number is 703-308-3510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 10am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan, can be reached on 703-306-2777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Patrick`D. Niland Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714